# **PUBLIC COMMENTS ON DRAFT ADVISORY OPINIONS**

Members of the public may submit written comments on draft advisory opinions.

DRAFTS A and B of ADVISORY OPINION 2012-17 are now available for comment. They were requested by Craig Engle, Esq., and Brett G. Kappel, Esq., on behalf of Red Blue T LLC, Armour Media, Inc., and m-Qube, Inc., and are scheduled to be considered by the Commission at its public meeting on May 24, 2012. The meeting will begin at 10:00 a.m. and will be held in the 9<sup>th</sup> Floor Hearing Room at the Federal Election Commission, 999 E Street, NW, Washington, DC. Individuals who plan to attend the public meeting and who require special assistance, such as sign language interpretation or other reasonable accommodations, should contact the Commission Secretary, at (202) 694-1040, at least 72 heurs prior to the meeting date.

If you wish to comment on DRAFTS A and B of ADVISORY OPINION 2012-17, please note the following requirements:

- 1) Comments must be in writing, and they must be both legible and complete.
- 2) Comments must be submitted to the Office of the Commission Secretary by hand delivery or fax ((202) 208-3333), with a duplicate copy submitted to the Office of General Counsel by hand delivery or fax ((202) 219-3923).
- 3) Comments must be received by 9 a.m. (Eastern Time) on May 24, 2012.
- 4) The Commission will generally not accept comments received after the deadline. Requests to extend the comment period are discouraged and unwelcome. An extension request will be considered only if received before the comment deadline and then only on a case-by-case basis in special circumstances.
- 5) All timely received comments will be made available to the public at the Commission's Public Records Office and will be posted on the Commission's website at http://saos.nictusa.com/saos/searchao.

#### REQUESTOR APPEARANCES BEFORE THE COMMISSION

The Commission has implemented a pilot program to allow advisory opinion requestors, or their counsel, to appear before the Commission to answer questions at the open meeting at which the Commission considers the draft advisory apinion. This program took affect on July 7, 2009.

# Under the program:

- 1) A requestor has an automatic right to appear before the Commission if any public draft of the advisory opinion is made available to the requestor or requestor's counsel less than one week before the public meeting at which the advisory opinion request will be considered. Under these circumstances, no advance written notice of intent to appear is required. This one-week period is shortened to three days for arbisory unimons under the expedited twenty-day procedure in 2 U.S.C. 437f(a)(2).
- 2) A requestor must provide written notice of intent to appear before the Commission if all public drafts of the advisory opinion are made available to requestor or requestor's counsel at least one week before the public meeting at which the Commission will consider the advisory opinion request. This one-week period is shortened to three days for advisory opinions under the expedited twenty-day procedure in 2 U.S.C. 437f(a)(2). The notice of intent to appear must be received by the Office of the Commission Secretary by hand delivery, email (Secretary@fee.gov), or fax ((202) 208-3333), no later than 48 hours before the scheduled public meeting. Requestors are responsible for ensuring that the Office of the Commission Secretary receives timely notice.
- 3) Requestors or their counsel unable to appear physically at a public meeting may participate by telephone, subject to the Commission's technical capabilities.
- 4) Requestors or their counsel who appear before the Commission may do so only for the Hmited purpose of addressing questions raised by the Commission at the public meeting. Their appearance does not guarantee that any questions will be asked.

# **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION**

Press inquiries: Judith Ingram

Press Officer (202) 694-1220

Commission Secretary: Shawn Woodhead Werth

(202) 694-1040

Comment Submission Procedure: Kevin Deeley

Acting Associate General Counsel

(202) 694-1650

Other inquiries:

To obtain copies of documents related to Advisory Opinion 2012-17, contact the Public Records Office at (202) 694-1120 or (800) 424-9530, or visit the Commission's website at http://saos.nictusa.com/saos/searchao.

# <u>ADDRESSES</u>

Office of the Commission Secretary Federal Election Commission 999 E Street, NW Washington, DC 20463

Office of General Counsel ATTN: Kevin Deeley, Esq. Federal Election Commission 999 E Street, NW Washington, DC 20463



# FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION Washington, DC 20463



2012 HAY 23 P 5: 18

May 23, 2012

**MEMORANDUM** 

AGENDA ITEM

For Meeting of 5-24-12

SUBMITTED LATE

TO:

The Commission

FROM:

Anthony Herman A

General Counsel

Kevin Deeley

Acting Associate General Counsel

Amy Rothstein AR Assistant General Counsel

Theodore Lutz

Attorney

Subject:

AO 2012-17 (Red Blue T LLC, Armour Media, Inc., and

m-Qube, Inc.) (Drafts A and B)

Attached are proposed drafts of the subject advisory opinion. We have been asked to have these drafts placed on the Open Session agenda for May 24, 2012.

Attachment

#### 1 ADVISORY OPINION 2012-17

- 2 Craig Engle, Esq.
- 3 Brett G. Kappel, Esq.
- 4 Arent Fox LLP
- 5 1050 Connecticut Avenue, NW
- 6 Washington, DC 20036-5339

7

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

# 8 Dear Messrs. Engle & Kappel:

9 We are responding to your advisory opinion request on behalf of Red Blue T LLC 10 ("Red Blue T"), ArmourMedia, Inc. ("ArmourMedia"), and m-Oube, Inc. ("m-Oube"), 11 concerning the application of the Federal Election Campaign Act of 1971, as amended 12 (the "Act"), and Commission regulations to their proposal to use text messaging to raise 13 funds for political committees. The requestors ask whether their proposal (1) satisfies the recordkeeping and reporting requirements of 2 U.S.C. 432(c); (2) satisfies the segregation 14 15 requirements for commercial vendors that process political contributions; (3) conforms to 16 the prohibition on corporate contributions at 2 U.S.C. 441b; and (4) complies with the

DRAFT A

The Commission concludes that the proposal conforms to the prohibition on corporate contributions at 2 U.S.C. 441b, but it does not satisfy the recordkeeping and reporting requirements of 2 U.S.C. 432(c). In addition, certain aspects of the proposal do not conform to the forwarding requirements of 2 U.S.C. 432(b) or the segregation requirement for commercial vendors that process political contributions.

#### Background

- The facts presented in this advisory opinion are based on your letter received on April 6, your email of April 11, the additional information you provided on May 21,
- 26 2012, and on publicly available information.

forwarding requirements of 2 U.S.C. 432(b).

- 1 Red Blue T and ArmourMedia are political and media consulting firms that advise
- 2 and represent political committees, including candidates and candidate committees.
- 3 m-Qube is a corporate aggregator of business-to-consumer messaging and merchant
- 4 billing for public wireless service providers. It operates direct interconnection gateways
- 5 with all of the nation's major public wireless service providers.
- 6 m-Qube proposes to enter into agreements with political committees, under which
- 7 it would provide its services as an aggregator to process contributions made to the
- 8 political committees via text messaging. The proposal envisions the use of text
- 9 messaging to make contributions in two ways. In the first method, a wireless user<sup>2</sup> would
- text a pre-determined message to a common short code<sup>3</sup> registered to a political
- 11 committee. m-Qube, as the connection aggregator, will respond to the user via text
- message and require the user to respond with his or her own text message to (1) confirm
- the user's intent to engage in the transaction, and (2) certify the user's eligibility to make
- 14 a contribution under the Act and Commission regulations.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The requestors rely on the description of the mechanics of the wireless industry in Advisory Opinion 2010-23 (CTIA – The Wireless Association). As presented in that advisory opinion, content providers, application providers, connection aggregators, and wireless service providers work together to enable wireless users to access content through the use of mobile phone text messages. Content providers (such as the Red Cross) disseminate content to, or collect information or pledges from, wireless users. Application providers convert the text messages received into data that can be interpreted and used by content providers. Connection aggregators link application providers to wireless service providers' networks. Wireless service operators are the companies from which wireless subscribers purchase their mobile phone service.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> In Advisory Opinion 2010-23 (CTIA – The Wireless Association), the Commission distinguished between the terms "wireless subscriber" and "wireless user." A "wireless subscriber" refers to an individual who a wireless service provider biffs; a "wireless user" refers to a broader category of individuals, who are included in the subscriber's billing plan, including, for example, a family or group plan and therefore are not directly responsible for payment to the "wireless service provider."

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> A common short code is a five- or six-digit number to which wireless users can send text messages to access mobile content.

Under the second proposed method, a user would enter his or her mobile phone number on a political committee's website in lieu of a credit card number. Before submitting the phone number, the user will be required to certify his or her eligibility to make a contribution under the Act. After the user makes the certification and submits the phone number, m-Qube will transmit to the user's mobile phone a text message that includes a PIN. The user will enter the PIN on the political committee's website to confirm the transaction.

Both of these methods require a wireless user to (1) confirm that the user intends to engage in the transaction, and (2) certify that the user is eligible to make contributions under the Act and Commission regulations. Once the user has completed both of these steps, the user has completed the "opt-in" process. Wireless service providers and connection aggregators would not forward contributors' names and addresses to recipient political committees.

m-Qube proposes to enter into service orders with political committee customers, the basic terms of which are the same as those it offers to customers other than political committees in the ordinary course of its business. In addition, for political committee customers, m-Qube proposes to add special terms to the service order that would include the following requirements: each political committee customer must be registered "and in good standing" with the Commission and relevant State authorities; each political committee customer must receive contributions through a single short code per election, with m-Qube as the exclusive provider of services for that short code; no mobile phone number may be billed more than \$50 per month for contributions to any one political committee customer; each political committee customer must seek certifications from

- 1 wireless users that the users are eligible to make contributions under the Act and
- 2 Commission regulations; and each political committee customer must use m-Qube's
- 3 "factoring" service.

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

- 4 The requestors describe "factoring" as a financial transaction in which an entity
- 5 (here, a political committee) sells its accounts receivable to a third party (here, m-Qube)
- at a discount in exchange for receiving a percentage (or "factor") of its outpayment on an
- 7 expedited basis. 4 m-Oube currently offers factoring as an optional service in exchange
- 8 for a fee to customers that wish to receive a portion of their outpayments more quickly
- 9 than the normal industry payment process would allow. 5 For political committee
- 10 customers, factoring would be required.

The factoring process begins with an assessment by m-Qube of the transaction data that it has received on a daily basis, and a calculation of the net amount of funds that will eventually be collected from the mobile service providers. m-Qube takes into account certain variables "in [the] ordinary course of business... including perceived risk on liabilities associated with the programs, and the availability of funds to provide the factored donations" to arrive at an appropriate factored payment amount. m-Qube states that it will calculate and transmit a "conservative" factor to political committees to protect against overpayments. Under its service order as currently drafted, m-Qube may

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> An "entpayment" is the total amount that a recipient content provider is entitled to receive after all fees have been deducted by the wireless service providers and connection aggregators. A "factor" is a reduced percentage of the outpayment. A typical outpayment will range between 50 and 70 percent of the consumer charges, and a typical factor will range between 50 and 90 percent of the total outpayment.

Advisory Opinion 2010-23 (CTIA – The Wireless Association) describes the usual billing process in the wireless industry when connection aggregators are used to enable access to mobile centent. Each wheless service provider transmits payments from wireless subscribers to connection aggregators seven to ten days after receipt by the wireless service providers. The connection aggregators then callect all funds flagged for a particular recipient from all the wireless service providers over a 30-day period and transmit those funds to recipient content providers.

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

1 suspend or terminate factored payments at any time, with or without notice to its

2 customers, and may require its customers to provide a security deposit to guard against

3 overpayments before restarting the payments.<sup>6</sup> If a customer receives factored payments

4 that exceed the amount of outpayments due to it, the customer may not terminate the

service order or transfer services, programs, or short codes from m-Qube until it has

repaid m-Qube. Similarly, if m-Qube is charged an adjustment by a "Network Operator"

that exceeds the total amount owed by m-Qube to its customer, m-Qube may require the

customer to repay the factored payment to m-Quhe. m-Quhe proposes to adhere to these

standard terms for its political committee customers.

m-Qube plans to transmit factored payments to political committee customers on a weekly basis.<sup>7</sup> For example, m-Qube would assess all of the opt-ins that it received between Day 1 and Day 7 and, based on that data, transmit a factored payment on Day 10. m-Qube does not propose to identify any of the wireless users whose opt-ins it analyzes as part of the factoring process or to transmit their names and addresses to political committee customers, consistent with its current practice for customers that are not political committees.

After m-Qube receives payment from wireless service providers, m-Qube's current practice is to reconcile the amount that it has provided to its customers as factored payments and the actual outpayment that each customer is entitled to receive. Typically,

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> An overpayment may result from unexpected numbers of consumers disputing charges for third party content, such as contributions initiated through short codes. "Technical inconsistencies" between wireless service providers and aggregators can also result in overpayments.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> The request states that a "committee will receive its share of its mobile phone contributions on a weekly basis of those texts being made." The request also states that committees will "typically... opt to receive weekly payments and could therefore expect to receive payment within one to ten days of mobile pledges being made." The Commission understands these statements to mean that m-Qube will transmit factored payments each week.

- 1 m-Qube transmits these "trailing payments" within 30 days after it receives payment
- 2 from the wireless service providers, but m-Qube proposes to transmit trailing payments to
- 3 its political committee customers within ten days after receipt. Consistent with its current
- 4 practice for customers that are not political committees, m-Qube does not propose to
- 5 segregate the trailing payments to political committee customers from its general
- 6 corporate treasury funds or to provide the payors' names and addresses to the political
- 7 committees. The trailing payments, however, will be linked to a short code associated
- 8 with a particular political committee, and m-Quhe represents that this link, in conjunction
- 9 with the requirement that each political committee only register one short code, ensures
- that contributions are segregated from corporate treasury funds.

# 11 Questions Presented

- 12 1. Does the proposal described above satisfy the recordkeeping and reporting
- requirements of 2 U.S.C. 432(c)?
- 14 2. Does the proposed commercial transaction of factoring of political contributions,
- when it is performed by an aggregator in its ordinary course of business, conform
- with the requirements of 2 U.S.C. 441b?
- 3. If the answer to Questian 2 is "yes," does the proposed method of factoring
- comply with the forwarding requirements of 2 U.S.C. 432(b)?
- 19 4. Does the proposal described above satisfy the segregation requirements the
- 20 Commission has placed on commercial vendors that process political
- 21 contributions?

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

## Legal Analysis and Conclusions

- 2 1. Does the proposal described above satisfy the recordkeeping and reporting
- 3 requirements of 2 U.S.C. 432(c)?
- No, the proposal described above does not satisfy the recordkeeping and reporting requirements of 2 U.S.C. 432(c).
  - a. Reporting and Recordkeeping Requirements

The Act and Commission regulations impose certain requirements on treasurers of political committees and anyone receiving contributions for political committees. A treasurer of a political committee must "keep an account of (1) all contributions received by or on behalf of such political committee; (2) the name and address of any person who makes any contribution in excess of \$50, together with the date and amount of such contribution by any person; [and] (3) the identification of any person who makes a contribution or contributions aggregating more than \$200 during a calendar year, together with the date and amount of any such contribution." 2 U.S.C. 432(c)(1)-(3); see also 11 CFR 110.4(c).

m-Qube proposes to meet these requirements by imposing a \$50 limit on the amount that can be billed each month to a mobile phone number for contributions pledged to a political committee customer. The \$50 limit, however, does not ensure that political committees can meet their obligations under 2 U.S.C. 432(c)(2).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> The Commission responds only with respect to m-Qube's proposed activities. The proposal in the advisory opinion request does not refer to "a specific transaction or activity" by Red Blue T and ArmourMedia. 2 U.S.C. 437f(a)(1); 11 CFR 112.1(b); see also Advisory Opinion 1989-25 (New Hampshire Republican State Committee) (serving as a recruiter of and advisor to Federal candidates and their committees does not meet the requirements of 11 CFR part 112).

1 Commission regulations provide that "a contribution shall be considered to be 2 made when the contributor relinquishes control over the contribution." 11 CFR 3 110.1(b)(6). In the context of contributions pledged via text message, the Commission 4 has determined that a contribution is made when "the wireless subscriber pays the bill," 5 not when the pledge is made. Advisory Opinion 2010-23 (CTIA – The Wireless 6 Association). Under your proposal, within the context of family and group plans, several 7 users could each pledge to make a contribution of up to \$50 per month, each of which 8 would appear on a single subscriber's bill and be paid by the wireless subscriber. Id. 9 Thus, while each mobile number may be billed no more than \$50 for contributions to any given political committee per month, the value of all contributions for that political 10 committee paid by a wireless subscriber with a family or group plan could exceed \$50 11 12 per month. The subscriber, therefore, would make a contribution of more than \$50 when paying the monthly bill. And in such circumstances, the treasurer of the recipient 13 political committee must record the wireless subscriber's name and address and the 14 15 "identification of any person who makes a contribution or contributions aggregating more than \$200 during a calendar year." <sup>9</sup> 2 U.S.C. 432(c)(2)-(3). 16

Ontributions by text message are not "truly anonymous contributions," in contrast to small cash contributions collected through "mass collection" or "pass the hat" scenarios. Advisory Opinion 1991-20 (Call Interactive). In the latter scenarios, "[f]ew people [are] expected to attend more than one [such] event," and thus the likelihood of circumventing the Act's amount limitations, source prohibitions, and reporting requirements through multiple, small-dollar cash contributions is low. *Id.* (distinguishing Advisory Opinion 1980-99 (Republican Round-up Committee)). Under m-Qube's proposal, however, even a wireless subscriber who pays only his or her own pledges could contribute up to \$50 to a political committee each month under the proposal, or up to \$600 each year, that the recipient political committee has no way to screen for illegality, aggregate with other contributions made by the contributor, or disclose to the public. The Act's anti-circumvention concerns are heightened here given the amount of funds at issue and the ease with which a contribution may be made. If, for example, only three to five percent of adult Americans contribute \$10 to political committees by text message in an election cycle, as compared to nine percent of adult Americans who have donated to charities by text message, see Aaron Smith, Real Time Charitable Giving, Pew Research Center's Internet & American Life Project (Jan. 12, 2012), political committees could raise approximately \$70-\$117 million through text messages per election cycle.

19

## b. Screening Requirements

2	Related to the provisions of 2 U.S.C. 432(c), treasurers of political committees
3	also must "examin[e] all contributions received for evidence of illegality and for
4	ascertaining whether contributions received, when aggregated with other contributions
5	from the same contributor, exceed the [Act's] contribution limitations." 11 CFR
6	103.3(b).
7	The Commission explained in Advisory Opinion 2010-23 (CTIA – The Wireless
8	Association) that, "although it is ultimately the responsibility of the political committee
9	to obtain the identity of contributors and to prevent excessive and prohibited
10	contributions, when presented with information raising questions as to the legality of a
11	contribution, to ensure the committee can meet its obligations, it is incumbent upon the
12	service provider to forward the appropriate information." Advisory Opinion 2010-23
13	(CTIA - The Wireless Association) (internal citations and quotations omitted).
14	Neither the \$50 limit nor the certifications that m-Qube proposes to require
15	political committee customers to seek from mobile users will suffice to prevent excessive
16	and prohibited contributions. If, for example, a bill indicates that a wireless subscriber is
17	a corporation, a government contractor, or has a foreign atldress, m-Qube "would be
18	required to forward to the recipient committee the information required by 2 U.S.C.

http://pewinternet.org/Reports/2012/mobilegiving.aspx (estimating that nine percent of American adults have donated to charity via text message); Lindsay M. Howden & Julie A. Meyer, 2010 Census Briefs: Age and Sex Composition 2010, U.S. CENSUS BUREAU (May 2011),

432(b) and (c)." Id. Failing to do so could prevent a political committee from meeting

http://www.census.gov/prod/cen2010/briefs/c2010br-03.pdf (calculating the number of adults in the United States at approximately 235 million); see also The ANES Guide to Public Opinion and Electoral Behavior, THE AM. NAT'L ELECTION STUDIES (Aug. 5, 2010),

http://www.electionstudies.org/nesguide/toptable/tab6b\_5.htm (concluding that 13 percent of Americans "[gave] money to help a campaign" in 2008).

٠:

its obligations under the Act and Commission regulations.<sup>10</sup> m-Qube's proposal does not
 enable political committees to meet these obligations.

Accordingly, for the reasons stated above, the proposal described above does not satisfy the recordkeeping and reporting requirements of 2 U.S.C. 432(c).

2. Does the proposed commercial transaction of factoring of political contributions, when it is performed by an aggregator in its ordinary course of business, conform with the requirements of 2 U.S.C. 441b?

Yes, the proposed commercial transaction of factoring of political contributions, when it is performed by an aggregator in its ordinary course of business, conforms with the requirements of 2 U.S.C. 441b.

The Act and Commission regulations prohibit corporations from making a contribution in connection with a Federal election. See 2 U.S.C. 441b(a); 11 CFR 114.2(b)(1). A contribution includes "any gift, subscription, loan, advance, or deposit of money or anything of value made by any person for the purpose of influencing any election for Federal office." 2 U.S.C. 431(8)(A)(i); 11 CFR 100.52(a); see also 2 U.S.C. 441b(b)(2); 11 CFR 114.2(b)(1). "Anything of value" includes all in-kind contributions, including the provision of goods or services without charge or at a charge that is less than the usual and normal charge. See 11 CFR 100.52(d)(1). "Usual and normal charge" is defined as "the price of goods in the market from which they ordinarily would have been purchased at the time of the contribution, or the commercially

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> See Advisory Opinion 2009-32 (Jargensen) ("The political committee, not the vendor, is responsible for determining the legality of contributions, as well as determining whether contributions, when aggregated with other contributions from the same contributors, exceed the contribution limits.").

- reasonable rate prevailing at the time the services were rendered." See
- 2 11 CFR 100.52(d)(2).

After wireless users have completed an opt-in to pledge funds to a political committee, but prior to any receipt of a contribution, m-Qube will transmit funds from its corporate treasury to political committee. m-Qube proposes to recoup its funds once subscribers have paid their bills and the wireless service providers have transmitted those payments, net of fees, to m-Qube. m-Qube's proposal to make factored payments is not an exclusive service for its political committee customers; rather, m-Qube offers the same service on the same terms to its non-political customers, except that, unlike non-political committee customers who may elect not to avail themselves of factoring, factoring would be mandatory for political committee customers.

As m-Qube does with its non-political customers, it would employ extensive safeguards to avoid making excessive factored payments. m-Qube will calculate and transmit a "conservative" factor to political committees, and it will reevaluate the potential risks of making factored payments on a weekly basis. Under the terms of its service order, m-Qube may suspend or terminate factored payments at any time, with or without notice to its customers, and may require its customers to previde a security deposit to guard against overpayments before restarting the payments. If a customer receives factored payments that exceed the amount of outpayments due to it, the customer may not terminate the service order or transfer services, programs, or short codes from m-Qube until it has repaid m-Qube. Similarly, if m-Qube is charged an adjustment by a Network Operator that exceeds the total amount owed by m-Qube to its customer, m-Oube may require the customer to repay the factored payment to m-Oube.

1 The Commission concludes that m-Qube's proposal to make factored payments

- 2 to its political committee customers on the same terms that it regularly offers to its
- 3 commercial customers would be a permissible extension of credit by m-Qube in the
- 4 ordinary course of business. Under the Act and Commission regulations, an incorporated
- 5 commercial vendor may extend credit to political committees under terms substantially
- 6 similar to those the vendor offers nonpolitical debtors. See 11 CFR 116.3(b), (c). m-
- 7 Qube is a "commercial vendor" because its usual and normal business involves the
- 8 provision of the same services that it proposes to provide to political committees.
- 9 11 CFR 116.1(c). An "extension of credit" includes, but is not limited to, "[a]ny
- agreement between the creditor and political committee that full payment is not due until
- after the creditor provides goods or services to the political committee." 11 CFR
- 12 116.1(e).11
- 13 The Commission approved a proposal similar to m-Qube's factoring proposal in
- 14 the 900-line advisory opinions. 12 In Advisory Opinion 1990-14 (AT&T), for example,

What comprises a true purchase of accounts reservable is not always readily apparent, and therefore the distinction between true factoring and another form of financial transaction "can be blurred." Reaves Brokerage Co. v. Sunbelt Fruit & Vegetable Co., 336 F.3d 410, 416 (5th Cir. 2003). When making this determination, courts have tended to engage in highly fact- and transaction-specific analyses to determine whether the creditor or debtor has assumed the risk of non-payment. See, e.g., Nickey Gregory Co. v. Agricap, LLC, 596 F.3d 591, 600-03 (4th Cin 2010) (hadding that a transaction was not a true purchase of accounts receivable bacause the "substantive aspects of the transaction are inconsistent with an outright sale of the assets"); Endico Potatoes, Inc. v. CIT Grp., 67 F.3d 1063, 1068 (2nd Cir. 1995) (analyzing "the substance of the transaction," rather than "the lahel attached to the transaction"). The recipient political committees appear to assume the risk of nonpayment under m-Qube's proposal because the factoring agreement gives m-Qube broad discretion to suspend or terminate factored payments, to require deposits and to determine their amounts, to withhold overpayments, and to demand repayment from political committees when it is charged an adjustment by service providers. It is, however, not necessary for the Commission to make such a determination here because m-Qube's proposal falls within the definition of an extension of credit under the Commission's regulations.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>12</sup> Advisory Opinion 1990-14 (AT&T) is part of a line of advisory opinions that analyzed the use of 900-lines for raising contributions for political committees. These advisory opinions involved complex transactions between corporations and political committees. See, e.g., Advisory Opinion 1990-01 (Digital

1 the Commission considered whether a proposal under which contributors would call a 900-line to make contributions to political committees, and AT&T would provide funds 2 3 to the political committees (through a service bureau) before the contributors paid their 4 phone bills, would "implicate [AT&T] in making an unlawful advance of corporate funds 5 to a political committee." The Commission concluded that it would not, stating that, 6 "[als long as AT&T, or any other company providing service to AT&T in connection with its 7 ... service, provides its usual and normal services at its usual and normal charges it will not, 8 in most circumstances, have made a prohibited corporate contribution." To guard against 9 making an unlawful advance of corporate funds, the Commission stated that AT&T 10 "should not remit funds . . . if it appears that, because of an adverse event, callers may 11 refuse to make payments." The Commission explained that this precaution was 12 consistent with AT&T's service agreements, in which AT&T reserved the right to terminate the agreement or billing services "if it determines, in its sole discretion, that its 13 14 image would be adversely affected or its reputation or goodwill damaged by the 15 continued offer of billing services." Id. Similarly, in Advisory Opinion 1979-36 (Fauntroy), the Commission approved a 16 proposal in which an incorporated direct mail fundraising firm would incur initial 17 18 expenses in implementing a direct mail fundraising program for a political committee. 19 Funds generated by the direct mail campaign would be deposited in the political 20 committee's account, and the political committee would use those funds to pay the 21 corporation for its costs and fees. Id. If the campaign was less successful than

Corrections). Generally, political committees contracted with service bureaus to provide 900-line services. Callers dialed a 900-line number maintained by the service bureau. The service bureaus, in turn, contracted with telephone common carriers, such as AT&T, to receive access to telephone circuits and billing services. *Id.* 

1	anticipated, the political committee would not be entitled to any funds until the
2	corporation had recouped its costs and fees. Id. The Commission approved the proposal,
3	provided that the financial agreement between the corporation and the political committee
4	"is of a type which is normal industry practice and contains the type of credit which is
5	extended in the ordinary course of [the corporation's] business with terms which are
6	substantially similar to those given to nonpolitical, as well as political, debtors of similar risk
7	and size of obligation," and the costs charged by the corporation to the political committee
8	"are at least the normal charge for services of that type." Id.
9	Accordingly, because m-Qube's factored payments will be extensions of credit
10	under 11 CFR part 116 and otherwise consistent with prior Commission interpretations of
11	2 U.S.C. 441b, the proposed commercial transaction of factoring of political
12	contributions, when as here it is performed by an aggregator in its ordinary course of
13	business, conforms with the requirements of 2 U.S.C. 441b.
14	3. If the answer to Question 2 is "yes," does the proposed method of factoring
15	comply with the forwarding requirements of 2 U.S.C. 432(b)?
16	The proposed method of factoring does not implicate the forwarding requirements
17	of 2 U.S.C. 432(b) because factoring does not involve the forwarding of contributions.
18	The proposed method of making trailing payments of contributions to authorized
19	committees, however, and of contributions exceeding \$50 to unauthorized committees,
20	does not comply with the forwarding requirement of 2 U.S.C. 432(b).
21	a. Timeline Requirements
22	Any person who receives a contribution for an authorized political committee
23	must forward the contribution to the political committee's treasurer within ten days of

- receipt. 2 U.S.C. 432(b)(1); 11 CFR 102.8(a). Any person who receives a contribution
- 2 for a political committee that is not an authorized committee must forward the
- 3 contribution to the political committee within 30 days of receipt if the contribution is \$50
- 4 or less, and within ten days of receipt if the contribution is in excess of \$50. 2 U.S.C.
- 5 432(b)(2)(A); 11 CFR 102.8(b); see, e.g., Advisory Opinion 2010-23 (CTIA The
- 6 Wireless Association); Advisory Opinion 2009-32 (Jorgensen).
- The forwarding requirement of 2 U.S.C. 432(b) applies to any person that

  receives a contribution for a political committee. Because a contribution is only made at

  the time a subscriber pays a bill, not when a user completes an opt-in, the proposed

  factored payments to political committees will not be forwarded contributions. Rather,

  they will be extensions of credit by m-Qube in the ordinary course of business. Thus, the

  forwarding requirements of 2 U.S.C. 432(b) and 11 CFR 102.8 are not implicated by m-
- 13 Oube's proposed factored payments.

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

The trailing payments that m-Qube proposes to make to political committees after wireless subscribers pay their bills, however, are subject to the forwarding requirements of 2 U.S.C. 432(b) and \$\Pi\$1 CFR 102.8. In Advisory Opinion 2010-23 (CTIA – The Wireloss Association), the Commission found that CTIA's proposal would not comply with the requirements of 2 U.S.C. 432(b) and 11 CFR 102.8 because "40 days could lapse" between the making of a contribution and the receipt of that contribution by a political committee. Similarly, under m-Qube's proposal, approximately 20 days could lapse between the making of a contribution and its receipt by a political committee in the form of trailing payments. Further, as discussed above in Question 1, because a

contribution is made when a wireless subscriber pays a bill, not when a user completes an

AO 2012-17 Draft A Page 16

- opt-in, the \$50 cap does not ensure that all contributions made under m-Qube's proposal
- 2 will be \$50 or less. Thus, trailing payments to authorized committees, and trailing
- 3 payments to unauthorized committees of contributions in excess of \$50, will not comply
- 4 with the 10-day forwarding requirements of 2 U.S.C. 432(b) and 11 CFR 102.8 and are
- 5 thus not permissible.

6

7

8

9

10

12

13

14

15

17

18

#### b. Identification Requirements

contribution in excess of \$50 for a political committee must forward to the recipient political committee the name and address of the contributor and the date of the contribution. 2 U.S.C. 432(b)(1), (b)(2): 11 CFR 102.8(a), (b). As discussed in the

Section 432(b) of the Act also requires that any person who receives a

11 response to Question 1, however, a wireless subscriber may make a contribution in

excess of \$50 because a subscriber pays all charges associated with a particular bill,

which could include multiple users. Further, m-Qube does not propose any mechanism

to forward to its political committee customers the names, addresses, and other

identification information of persons who make contributions in excess of \$50, as

16 required by 2 U.S.C 432(b).

Accordingly, for the reasons stated above, the proposed method of factoring does

not comply with the requirements of 2 U.S.C. 432(b).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>13</sup> See Amendments to Federal Election Campaign Act of 1971; Regulations Transmitted to Congress, 45 FR 15080, 15084 (Mar. 7, 1980) (explaining that section 102.8 serves to ensure that treasurers are able "to keep an account of the identification of contributors as required by 2 U.S.C. 432(c)(3)").

1	4. Does the proposal described above satisfy the segregation requirements that the
2	Commission has placed on commercial vendors that process political
3	contributions?
4	No, the proposal described above does not satisfy the segregation requirements
5	that the Commission has placed on commercial vendors who process political
6	contributions insofar as the trailing payments are concerned. The factored payments do
7	not implicate the segregation requirement.
8	As explained in Advisory Opinion 2010-23 (CTIA – The Wireless Association),
9	the Commission requires vendors to maintain separate accounts for political contribution
10	that are to be transmitted to candidates. This requirement is rooted in the Act's
11	prohibition on contributions by corporations and labor organizations. See 2 U.S.C. 441b;
12	11 CFR 114.2(b); Advisory Opinion 2010-23 (CTIA - The Wireless Association). The
13	use of separate accounts by a corporation that forwards contributions to political
14	committees prevents a "commingling of corporate funds and campaign funds prohibited
15	by [2 U.S.C.] 441b." Advisory Opinion 1999-22 (Aristotle Publishing).
16	The proposed factored payments do not implicate the segregation requirement.
17	As explained in the response to Question 2, the proposed factored payments will be
18	extensions of credit by m-Qube as a commercial vendor in the ordinary course of
19	business under 11 CFR 116.3, rather than contributions forwarded to political
20	committees.
21	The proposed trailing payments, by contrast, do implicate the segregation
22	requirement. As explained in the response to Question 1, a contribution will be made
23	under m-Qube's proposal when a wireless subscriber pays a bill that includes a charge

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

į

)

1 resulting from an opt-in. Wireless service providers that receive the bill payment will

2 then transfer funds to m-Qube, and m-Qube will make trailing payments to political

3 committees. The trailing payments, thus, will be transmitted in the same manner as the

4 funds in Advisory Opinion 2010-23 (CTIA – The Wireless Association): from bill

5 payors to wireless service providers to m-Qube and finally to political committees. Also

6 like the requestor in Advisory Opinion 2010-23 (CTIA – The Wireless Association),

7 m-Qube does not propose to "use . . . separate accounts" to segregate political

contributions from its general treasury funds. 14 As in Advisory Opinion 2010-23 (CTIA

- The Wireless Association), to be consistent with the Act, m-Quhe must segregate its

trailing payments to political committees from corporate treasury funds.

Accordingly, the proposal described above does not satisfy the segregation requirements that the Commission has placed on commercial vendors who process political contributions insofar as the trailing payments are concerned, but the factored payments do not implicate the segregation requirement.

This response constitutes an advisory opinion concerning the application of the Act and Commission regulations to the specific transaction or activity set forth in your request. See 2 U.S.C. 437f. The Commission emphasizes that, if there is a change in any of the facts or assumptions presented, and such facts or assumptions are material to a conclusion presented in this advisory opinion, then the requestor may not rely on that conclusion as support for its proposed activity. Any person involved in any specific transaction or activity which is indistinguishable in all its material aspects from the

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>14</sup> Linking funds of each recipient political committee to a short code does not address the concern that contributions will be commingled with corporate funds. *See* Advisory Opinion 2010-23 (CTIA – The Wireless Association).

AO 2012-17 Draft A Page 19

1	transaction or activity with respect to which this advisory opinion is rendered may rely on
2	this advisory opinion. See 2 U.S.C. 437f(c)(1)(B). Please note that the analysis or
3	conclusions in this advisory opinion may be affected by subsequent developments in the
4	law, including, but not limited to, statutes, regulations, advisory opinions, and case law.
5	The cited advisory opinions are available on the Commission's website, www.fec.gov, or
6	directly from the Commission's Advisory Opinion searchable database at
7	http://www.fec.gov/searchao.
8	
9	On behalf of the Commission,
10	
11	
12	
13	Caroline C. Hunter
14	Chair
15	

ADVISORY	OPINION	2012-17
	OFINION	

- 2 Craig Engle, Esq.
- 3 Brett G. Kappel, Esq.
- 4 Arent Fox LLP
- 5 1050 Connecticut Avenue, NW
- 6 Washington, DC 20036-5339

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

8 Dear Messrs. Engle & Kappel:

9 We are responding to your advisory opinion request on behalf of Red Blue T LLC

DRAFT B

10 ("Red Blue T"), ArmourMedia, Inc. ("ArmourMedia"), and m-Qube, Inc. ("m-Qube"),

concerning the application of the Federal Election Campaign Act of 1971, as amended

(the "Act"), and Commission regulations to their proposal to use text messaging to raise

funds for political committees. The requestors ask whether the proposal (1) satisfies the

recordkeeping and reporting requirements of 2 U.S.C. 432(c); (2) conforms to the

prohibition on corporate contributions at 2 U.S.C. 441b; (3) complies with the forwarding

requirements of 2 U.S.C. 432(b), when m-Qube makes factored payments to political

committees; and (4) satisfies the segregation requirements for commercial vendors that

process political contributions.

The Commission concludes that the proposal satisfies the recordkeeping and

reporting requirements of 2 U.S.C. 432(c); conforms to the prohibition on corporate

contributions at 2 U.S.C. 441b; does not implicate the forwarding requirements of 2

U.S.C. 432(b), when factored payments are made; and satisfies the segregation

23 requirements for commercial vendors that process political contributions.

24

25

## Background

- 2 The facts presented in this advisory opinion are based on your letter received on
- 3 April 6, your emails of April 11 and May 21, 2012, and on publicly available
- 4 information.
- 5 Red Blue T and ArmourMedia are political and media consulting firms that advise
- 6 and represent political committees, including candidates and candidate committees.
- 7 m-Qube is a corporate aggregator of business-to-consumen messaging and merchant
- 8 billing for public wireless service providers. It operates direct interconnection gateways
- 9 with all of the nation's major public wireless service providers. 1
- m-Qube proposes to enter into agreements with political committees, under which
- it would provide its services as an aggregator to process contributions made to the
- 12 political committees via text messaging. The proposal envisions the use of text
- messaging to make contributions in two ways. In the first method, a wireless user<sup>2</sup> would
- 14 text a pre-determined message to a common short code<sup>3</sup> registered to a political
- 15 committee. m-Qube, as the connection aggregator, will respond to the user via text

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The requestors rely on the description of the mechanics of the wireless industry in Advisory Opinion 2010-23 (CTIA – The Wireless Association). As presented in that advisory opinion, content providers, application providers, connection aggregators, and wireless service providers work together to enable wireless users to access content through the use of mobile phone text messages. Content providers (such as the Red Cross) disseminate content to, or collect information or pledges from, wireless users. Application providers convert the text messages received into data that can be interpreted and used by content providers. Commention aggregators link application providers to wireless service providers' networks. Wireless service operators are the companies from which wireless subscribers purchase their mobile phone service.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> In Advisory Opinion 2010-23 (CTIA – The Wireless Association), the Commission distinguished between the terms "wireless subscriber" and "wireless user." A "wireless subscriber" refers to an individual who a wireless service provider biHs; a "wireless user" refers to a broader category of individuals, who are included in the subscriber's billing plan, including, for example, a family or group plan and therefore are not directly responsible for payment to the "wireless service provider."

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> A common short code is a five- or six-digit number to which wireless users can send text messages to access mobile content.

1 message and require the user to respond with his or her own text message to (1) confirm

2 the user's intent to engage in the transaction, and (2) certify the user's eligibility to make

a contribution under the Act and Commission regulations.

Under the second proposed method, a user would enter his or her mobile phone number on a political committee's website in lieu of a credit card number. Before submitting the phone number, the user will be required to certify his or her eligibility to make a contribution under the Act. After the user makes the certification and submits the phone number, m-Qube will transmit to the user's mobile phone a text message that includes a PIN. The user will enter the PIN on the political committee's website to confirm the transaction.

Both of these methods require a wireless user to (1) confirm that the user intends to engage in the transaction, and (2) certify that the user is eligible to make contributions under the Act and Commission regulations.<sup>4</sup> Once the user has completed both of these steps, the user has completed the "opt-in" process.

m-Qube proposes to enter into service orders with political committee customers, the basic terms of which are the same as those it offers to customers other than political committees in the ordinary course of its business. In addition, for political committee customers, nn-Qube proposes to add special terms to the service order that would include the following requirements: each political committee customer must be registered "and in good standing" with the Commission and relevant State authorities; each political committee customer must receive contributions through a single short code per election,

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> m-Qube represents that the certifications that users will be required to make will be consistent with Advisory Opinion 2011-13 (DSCC).

with m-Qube as the exclusive provider of services for that short code; no mobile phone

2 number may be billed more than \$50 per month for contributions to any one political

3 committee customer; each political committee customer must seek certifications from

4 wireless users that the users are eligible to make contributions under the Act and

Commission regulations; and each political committee customer must use m-Qube's

6 "factoring" service.

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

The requestors describe "factoring" as a financial transaction in which an entity (here, a political committee) sells its accounts receivable to a third party (here, m-Qtibe) at a discount in exchange for receiving a percentage (or "factor") of its outpayment on an expedited basis. 5 m-Qube currently offers factoring as an optional service in exchange for a fee to customers that wish to receive a portion of their outpayments more quickly than the normal industry payment process would allow. 6 For political committee customers, factoring would be required.

The factoring process begins with an assessment by m-Qube of the transaction data that it has received on a daily basis, and a calculation of the net amount of funds that will eventually be collected from the mobile service providers. m-Qube takes into account certain variables "in [the] ordinary course of business . . . including perceived risk on liabilities associated with the programs, and the availability of funds to provide

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> An "outpayment" is the total smount that a recipient content provider is entitled to receive after all fees have been deducted by the wireless service providers and connection aggregators. A "factor" is a reduced percentage of the outpayment. A typical outpayment will range between 50 and 70 percent of the consumer charges, and a typical factor will range between \$0 and 90 percent of the total outpayment.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> Advisory Opinion 2010-23 (CTIA – The Wireless Association) describes the usual billing process in the wireless industry when connection aggregators are used to enable access to mobile centent. Each wireless service provider transmits payments from wireless subscribers to connection aggregators seven to ten days after recoipt by the wireless service providers. The connection aggregators then collect all funds flagged for a particular recipient from all the wireless service providers over a 30-day period and transmit those funds to recipient content providers.

1 the factored donations" to arrive at an appropriate factored payment amount. m-Oube 2 states that it will calculate and transmit a "conservative" factor to political committees to 3 protect against overpayments. Under its service order as currently drafted, m-Qube may 4 suspend or terminate factored payments at any time, with or without notice to its 5 customers, and may require its customers to provide a security deposit to guard against overpayments before restarting the payments.<sup>7</sup> If a customer receives factored payments 6 7 that expeed the emount of outpayments due to it, the customer may not terminate the 8 service order or transfer services, programs, or short codes from m-Qube until it has 9 repaid m-Oube. Similarly, if m-Oube is charged an adjustment by a "Network Operator" that exceeds the total amount owed by m-Qube to its customer, m-Qube may require the 10 11 customer to repay the factored payment to m-Qube. m-Qube proposes to adhere to these 12 standard terms for its political committee customers. m-Qube plans to transmit factored payments to political committee customers on 13 a weekly basis. For example, m-Qube would assess all of the opt-ins that it received 14

between Day 1 and Day 7 and, based on that data, transmit a factored payment on Day

10. m-Qube does not propose to identify any of the wireless users whose opt-ins it

analyzes as part of the factoring process ur to transmit their names and addresses to

18 political committee customers, consistent with its current practice for customers that are

19 not political committees.

15

16

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> An overpayment may result from unexpected numbers of consumers disputing charges for third party content, such as contributions initiated through short codes. "Technical inconsistencies" between wireless service providers and aggregators can also result in overpayments.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> The request states that a "committee will receive its share of its mobile phone contributions on a weekly basis of those texts being made." The request also states that committees will "typically... opt to receive weekly payments and could therefore expect to receive payment within one to ten rlays of mobile pledgas being made." The Commission understands these statements to mean that m-Qube will transmit factored payments each week.

1	After m-Qube receives payment from wireless service providers, m-Qube's
2	current practice is to reconcile the amount that it has provided to its customers as factored
3	payments and the actual outpayment that each customer is entitled to receive. Typically,
4	m-Qube transmits these "trailing payments" within 30 days after it receives payment
5	from the wireless service providers, but m-Qube proposes to transmit trailing payments to
6	its political committee customers within ten days after receipt. Consistent with its current
7	practice for customers that are not political committees, m-Qube does not proposo to
8	segregate the trailing payments to political committee customers from its general
9	corporate treasury funds or to provide the payors' names and addresses to the political
10	committees. The trailing payments, however, will be linked to a short code associated
11	with a particular political committee, and m-Qube represents that this link, in conjunction
12	with the requirement that each political committee only register one short code, ensures
13	that contributions are segregated from corporate treasury funds.
14	Questions Presented
15	1. Does the proposal described above satisfy the recordkeeping and reporting
16	requirements of 2 U.S.C. 432(c)?
17	2. Does the proposed commercial transaction of factoring of political contributions,
18	when it is performed by an aggregator in its ordinary course of business, conform
19	with the requirements of 2 U.S.C. 441b?
20	3. If the answer to Question 2 is "yes," does the proposed method of factoring
21	comply with the forwarding requirements of 2 U.S.C. 432(b)?

- 1 4. Does the proposal described above satisfy the segregation requirements the
- 2 Commission has placed on commercial vendors that process political
- 3 contributions?

# 4 Legal Analysis and Conclusions

- 5 1. Does the proposal described above satisfy the recordkeeping and reporting
- 6 requirements of 2 U.S.C. 432(c)? 9
- Yes, the proposal described above satisfies the recordkeeping and reporting
- 8 requirements of 2 U.S.C. 432(c).
- 9 The Act and Commission regulations impose certain requirements on treasurers of
- 10 political committees. A treasurer of a political committee must "keep an account of (1)
- all contributions received by or on behalf of such political committee; (2) the name and
- 12 address of any person who makes any contribution in excess of \$50, together with the
- date and amount of such contribution by any person; [and] (3) the identification of any
- 14 person who makes a contribution or contributions aggregating more than \$200 during a
- calendar year, together with the date and amount of any such contribution." 2 U.S.C.
- 16 432(c)(1)-(3); see also 11 CFR 110.4(c).
- 17 In Advisory Opinion 2010-23 (CTIA The Wireless Association), the requestor
- proposed to satisfy these requirements by imposing a \$10 limit on the value of each
- charge initiated by opt-in and an overall \$100 limit on the value of all opt-in charges to a
- 20 mobile phone in a billing cycle. Id. The Commission rejected the proposal because a

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> The Commission responds only with respect to m-Qube's proposed activities. The proposal in the advisory opinion request does not refer to "a specific transaction or activity" by Red Blue T and ArmourMedia. 2 U.S.C. 437f(a)(1); 11 CFR 112.1(b); see also Advisory Opinion 1989-25 (New Hampshire Republican State Committee) (serving as a recruiter of and advisor to Federal candidates and their committees does not meet the requirements of 11 CFR part 112).

- single user could make repeated pledges in a billing cycle and exceed the \$50
- 2 recordkeeping threshold when paying a bill. *Id.* The requirements of section 432(c)
- 3 would thus be triggered but not met because the proposal did not provide for contributor
- 4 names and addresses to be transmitted to political committees when a contribution
- 5 exceeded \$50.
- 6 m-Qube's proposal, however, is materially distinguishable from the proposal
- 7 considered in Advisory Opinion 2010-23 (CTIA The Wireless Association) and
- 8 satisfies 2 U.S.C. 432(c). Unlike the overall \$100 monthly cap proposed by CTIA, m-
- 9 Qube proposes to impose a \$50 limit on the amount that can be billed each month to a
- mobile phone number for contributions pledged to a political committee. The \$50 cap, in
- conjunction with the certifications that m-Qube will require users to make, helps to
- 12 ensure that a contribution from a single wireless user will not trigger the recordkeeping
- requirements of 2 U.S.C. 432(c)(2) by exceeding \$50. See Advisory Opinion 1980-99
- 14 (Republican Round-Up Committee) (political committees need not record the name and
- address of every contributor when collecting small-dollar contributions less than \$50).
- 16 But see Advisory Opinion 1991-20 (Call Interactive) (distinguishing fundraising events
- where small-dollar cash contributions are raised from other fundraising mechanisms that
- "do not involve truly anonymous contributions").
- 19 Nor does m-Qube's proposal violate 2 U.S.C. 432(c)(3). As explained by the
- 20 Commission in Advisory Opinion 1980-99 (Republican Round-Up Committee), the
- 21 requirements of section 432(c)(3) are triggered only "[i]f the committee retains
- 22 information on the names of its contributors" or if a committee receives a single
- contribution in excess of \$50. Under m-Qube's proposal, the former condition will not

- be met because m-Qube will not transmit contributors' names and addresses to political
- 2 committees; the latter condition also will not be satisfied because each wireless user must
- attest that he or she will pay for his or her own pledges, and those pledges are capped at
- 4 \$50 to a single political committee per billing cycle. 10
- Accordingly, the proposal described above satisfies the recordkeeping and
- 6 reporting requirements of 2 U.S.C. 432(c).
- 7 2. Does the proposed commercial transaction of factoring of political contributions,
- 8 when it is performed by an aggregator in its ordinary course of business, confarm
- 9 with the requirements of 2 U.S.C. 441b?
- Yes, the proposed commercial transaction of factoring of political contributions,
- when it is performed by an aggregator in its ordinary course of business, conforms with
- the requirements of 2 U.S.C. 441b.
- 13 The Act and Commission regulations prohibit corporations from making a
- 14 contribution in connection with a Federal election. See 2 U.S.C. 441b(a);
- 15 11 CFR 114.2(b)(1). A contribution includes "any gift, subscription, loan, advance, or
- deposit of money or anything of value made by any person for the purpose of influencing
- any election for Federal office." 2 U.S.C. 431(8)(A)(i); 11 CFR 100.52(a); see also

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> In Advisory Opinion 2010-23 (CTIA – The Wireless Association), the Commission also expressed concerns that a foreign national could circumvent the prohibition on contributions from foreign nationals or that, in the context of group or family plans, a single subscriber could pay charges incurred by multiple users on a single bill and thus make a contribution in excess of \$50. These concerns, in conjunction with the fact that subscribers who *only* paid for their own charges could also exceed the \$50 threshold, informed the Commission's decision to reject CTIA's proposal. Here, however, the Commission's concerns as to foreign nationals and group plans cannot be determinative because those same concerns extend to any situation in which a political committee receives simil-dellar anonymous contributions. If the Commission were to reject m-Qube's proposal on those grounds alone, it would be required to reject all proposals in which a political committee receives anonymous small-dollar contributions.

- 1 2 U.S.C. 441b(b)(2); 11 CFR 114.2(b)(1). "Anything of value" includes all in-kind
- 2 contributions, including the provision of goods or services without charge or at a charge
- 3 that is less than the usual and normal charge. See 11 CFR 100.52(d)(1). "Usual and
- 4 normal charge" is defined as "the price of goods in the market from which they ordinarily
- 5 would have been purchased at the time of the contribution, or the commercially
- 6 reasonable rate prevailing at the time the services were rendered." See
- 7 11 CFR 100.52(d)(2).

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

After wireless users have completed an opt-in to pledge funds to a political committee, but prior to any receipt of a contribution, m-Qube will transmit funds from its corporate treasury to the political committee. m-Qube proposes to recoup its funds once subscribers have paid their bills and the wireless service providers have transmitted those payments, net of fees, to m-Qube. m-Qube's proposal to make factored payments is not an exclusive service for its political committee customers; rather, m-Qube offers the same service on the same terms to its non-political customers, except that, unlike non-political committee customers who may elect not to avail themselves of factoring, factoring would be mandatory for political committee customers.

As m-Qube does with its non-political customers, it would employ extensive safeguards to avoid making excessive factored payments. m-Qube will calculate and transmit a "conservative" factor to political committees, and it will reevaluate the potential risks of making factored payments on a weekly basis. Under the terms of its service order, m-Qube may suspend or terminate factored payments at any time, with or without notice to its customers, and may require its customers to provide a security deposit to guard against overpayments before restarting the payments. If a customer

- 1 receives factored payments that exceed the amount of outpayments due to it, the customer
- 2 may not terminate the service order or transfer services, programs, or short codes from m-
- 3 Qube until it has repaid m-Qube. Similarly, if m-Qube is charged an adjustment by a
- 4 Network Operator that exceeds the total amount owed by m-Qube to its customer, m-
- 5 Qube may require the customer to repay the factored payment to m-Qube.

The Commission concludes that m-Qube's proposal to make factored payments

7 to its political committee customers on the name terms that it rogularly offers to its

8 commercial customers would be a permissible extension of credit by m-Qube in the

ordinary course of business. Under the Act and Commission regulations, an incorporated

10 commercial vendor may extend credit to political committees under terms substantially

similar to those the vendor offers nonpolitical debtors. See 11 CFR 116.3(b), (c). m-

Qube is a "commercial vendor" because its usual and normal business involves the

provision of the same services that it proposes to provide to political committees.

14 11 CFR 116.1(c). An "extension of credit" includes, but is not limited to, "[a]ny

agreement between the creditor and political committee that full payment is not due until

after the creditor provides goods or services to the political committee." 11 CFR

17 116.1(e).<sup>11</sup>

9

12

13

15

What comprises a true purchase of accounts receivable is not always readily apparent, and therefore the distinction between true factoring and another form of financial transaction "can be blurred." Reaves Brokerage Co. v. Sunbelt Fruit & Vegetable Co., 336 F.3d 410, 416 (5th Cir. 2003). When making this determination, courts have tended to engage in highly fact- and transaction-specific analyses to determine whether the creditor or debtor has assumed the risk of non-payment. See, e.g., Nickey Gregory Co. v. Agricap, LLC, 596 F.3d 591, 600-03 (4th Cir. 2010) (holding that a transaction was not a true purchase of accounts receivable because the "substantive aspects of the transaction are inconsistent with an outright sale of the assets"); Endico Potatoes, Inc. v. CIT Grp., 67 F.3d 1063, 1068 (2nd Cir. 1995) (analyzing "the substance of the transaction," ratner than "the label attached to the transaction"). The recipient political committees appear to assume the risk of nonpayment under m-Qube's proposal coosase the factoring agreement gives m-Qube brond discretion to suspend or terminate factored payments, to require deposits and to determine their amounts, to withhold overpayments, and to the temand repayment from political

1 The Commission approved a proposal similar to m-Qube's factoring proposal in the 900-line advisory opinions.<sup>12</sup> In Advisory Opinion 1990-14 (AT&T), for example, 2 3 the Commission considered whether a proposal under which contributors would call a 900-line to make contributions to political committees, and AT&T would provide funds 4 5 to the political committees (through a service bureau) before the contributors paid their 6 phone bills, would "implicate [AT&T] in making an unlawful advance of corporate funds to a political committee." The Commission concluded that it would not, stating that, 7 8 "[n]s long as AT&T, or any other company providing service to AT&T in connection with its 9 ... service, provides its usual and normal services at its usual and normal charges it will not, 10 in most circumstances, have made a prohibited corporate contribution." To guard against 11 making an unlawful advance of corporate funds, the Commission stated that AT&T 12 "should not remit funds . . . if it appears that, because of an adverse event, callers may 13 refuse to make payments." The Commission explained that this precaution was 14 consistent with AT&T's service agreements, in which AT&T reserved the right to 15 terminate the agreement or billing services "if it determines, in its sole discretion, that its 16 image would be adversely affected or its reputation or goodwill damaged by the 17 continued affer of billing services." Id.

committees when it is charged an adjustment by service providers. It is, however, not necessary for the Commission to make such a determination here because m-Qube's proposal falls within the definition of an extension of credit under the Commission's regulations.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>12</sup> Advisory Opinion 1990-14 (AT&T) is part of a line of advisory opinions that analyzed the use of 900-lines for raising contributions for political committees. These advisory opinions involved complex transactions between corporations and political committees. See, e.g., Advisory Opinion 1990-01 (Digital Corrections). Generally, political committees contracted with service bureaus 10 provide 900-line services. Callers dialed a 900-line number maintained by the service bureau. The service bureaus, in turn, contracted with telephone common carriers, such as AT&T, to receive access to telephone circuits and billing services. *Id.* 

1	Similarly, in Advisory Opinion 1979-36 (Fauntroy), the Commission approved a
2	proposal in which an incorporated direct mail fundraising firm would incur initial
3	expenses in implementing a direct mail fundraising program for a political committee.
4	Funds generated by the direct mail campaign would be deposited in the political
5	committee's account, and the political committee would use those funds to pay the
6	corporation for its costs and fees. Id. If the campaign was less successful than
7	anticipated, the political committee would not be entitled to any funds until the
8	corporation had recouped its costs and fees. Id. The Commission approved the proposal,
9	provided that the financial agreement between the corporation and the political committee
10	"is of a type which is normal industry practice and contains the type of credit which is
11	extended in the ordinary course of [the corporation's] business with terms which are
12	substantially similar to those given to nonpolitical, as well as political, debtors of similar risk
13	and size of obligation," and the costs charged by the corporation to the political committee
14	"are at least the normal charge for services of that type." Id.
15	Accordingly, because m-Qube's factored payments will be extensions of credit
16	under 11 CFR part 116 and otherwise consistent with prior Commission interpretations of
17	2 U.S.C. 441b, the proposed commercial transaction of factoring of political
18	contributions, when as here it is performed by an aggregator in its ordinary course of
19	business, conforms with the requirements of 2 U.S.C. 441b.
20	3. If the answer to Question 2 is "yes," does the proposed method of factoring
21	comply with the forwarding requirements of 2 U.S.C. 432(b)?
22	The proposed method of factoring does not implicate the forwarding requirements
23	of 2 U.S.C. 432(b) because factoring does not involve the forwarding of contributions.

1	Any person who receives a contribution for an authorized political committee
2	must forward the contribution to the political committee's treasurer within ten days of
3	receipt. 2 U.S.C. 432(b)(1); 11 CFR 102.8(a). Any person who receives a contribution
4	for a political committee that is not an authorized committee must forward the
5	contribution to the political committee within 30 days of receipt if the contribution is \$50
6	or less, and within ten days of receipt if the contribution is in excess of \$50. 2 U.S.C.
7	432(b)(2)(A); 11 CFR 102.8(b); see, e.g., Advisory Opinion 2010-23 (CTIA - The
8	Wireless Association); Advisory Opinion 2009-32 (Jorgensen). Any person who received
9	a contribution in excess of \$50 for a political committee must also forward to the
10	recipient political committee the name and address of the contributor and the date of the
11	contribution. 2 U.S.C. 432(b)(1), (b)(2); 11 CFR 102.8(a), (b).
12	The requirements of 2 U.S.C. 432(b) apply to any person that receives a
13	contribution for a political committee. Because, as explained in response to Question 2,
14	the factored payments are extensions of credit by m-Qube in the ordinary course of
15	business and are not contributions that m-Qube has received and forwarded, the factored
16	payments do not trigger the forwarding requirements of 2 U.S.C. 432(b) and 11 CFR
17	102.8.
18	Accordingly, for the reasons stated above, the proposed method of factoring does
19	not implicate the requirements of 2 U.S.C. 432(b).

1	4. Does the proposal described above satisfy the segregation requirements that the
2	Commission has placed on commercial vendors that process political
3	contributions?
4	m-Qube's proposal satisfies the requirements of the Act and Commission
5	regulations.
6	In previous advisory opinions, the Commission has required vendors to maintain
7	separate accounts for political contributions that are to be transmitted to candidates. See,
8	e.g., Advisory Opinion 2010-23 (CTIA - The Wireless Association). The Commission
9	explained that this requirement is rooted in the Act's prohibition on contributions by
10	corporations and labor organizations. See 2 U.S.C. 441b; 11 CFR 114.2(b); Advisory
11	Opinion 2010-23 (CTIA – The Wireless Association). The use of separate accounts by a
12	corporation that forwards contributions to political committees prevents a "commingling
13	of corporate funds and campaign funds prohibited by [2 U.S.C.] 441b." Advisory
14	Opinion 1999-22 (Aristotle Publishing).
15	m-Qube's proposal ensures that corporate funds will not be transmitted to
16	political committees. Because, as explained in response to Question 2, the factored
17	payments are extensions of credit by m-Qube in the ordinary course of busitiess and are
18	not contributions that m-Qube has received and forwarded, the factored payments do not
19	trigger the requirement that vendors maintain separate accounts for political contributions
20	that are to be transmitted to candidates.
21	The manner in which m-Qube proposes to receive and transmit the trailing
22	payments also satisfies the segregation requirement. The trailing payments that m-Qube

will receive from service providers and forward to political committees will be linked to a

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

1 common short code that is unique to each political committee. This mechanism, which

2 m-Qube regularly employs with all of its customers' funds, ensures that political

3 contributions are properly accounted for and that m-Qube's treasury funds will not be

4 inadvertently transmitted to political committees. Indeed, m-Qube's entire business

5 model depends upon its ability to use the short codes to ensure that it transmits the proper

funds to its customers. Because m-Qube proposes to apply these same processes to

7 political committees, m-Qube's proposal satisfies the requirements of the Act and

Commission regulations. 13

This response constitutes an advisory opinion concerning the application of the Act and Commission regulations to the specific transaction or activity set forth in your request. See 2 U.S.C. 437f. The Commission emphasizes that, if there is a change in any of the facts or assumptions presented, and such facts or assumptions are material to a conclusion presented in this advisory opinion, then the requestor may not rely on that conclusion as support for its proposed activity. Any person involved in any specific transaction or activity which is indistinguishable in all its material aspects from the transaction or activity with respect to which this advisory opinion is rendered may rely on this advisory opinion. See 2 U.S.C. 437f(c)(1)(B). Please note that the analysis or conclusions in this advisory opinion may be affected by subsequent developments in the law, including, but not limited to, statutes, regulations, advisory opinions, and case law.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>13</sup> To the extent that this conclusion supersedes prior Commission advisory opinions, those advisory opinions are now superseded.

Draft B
Page 17

The cited advisory opinions are available on the Commission's website, www.fec.gov, or
directly from the Commission's Advisory Opinion searchable database at

http://www.fec.gov/searchao.

On behalf of the Commission,

Caroline C. Hunter

Chair

AO 2012-17

9